# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

OLYMPIA LEVINSON STIEGELE,	)
Plaintiff,	)
VS.	) Case No. 05-CV-10677-GAO
BERNARD C. BAILEY, PAUL T. PRINCIPATO, PETER NESSEN, THOMAS J. REILLY, DENIS K. BERUBE, B.G. BECK, CHARLES E. LEVINE, and WILLIAM K. AULET,	) ) ) )
Defendants,	)
and	)
VIISAGE TECHNOLOGY, INC.,	)
Nominal Defendant.	<i>)</i> )

## **AFFIDAVIT OF PROOF OF SERVICE**

William B. Federman, of lawful age, after being first duly sworn upon her oath, states as follows:

- 1. I am a partner with the law firm of Federman & Sherwood, which represents the Plaintiff in the referenced matter.
- 2. On May 19, 2005, an original and one copy each of Waivers of Service of Summons for Defendants Viisage Technology, Inc., B. G. Beck, Charles E. Levine, Paul T. Principato, Thomas J. Reilly, William K. Aulet, Bernard C. Bailey, Peter Nessen, and Denis K. Berube, were served on their attorney of record Mitchell H. Kaplan, CHOATE HALL & STEWART LLP at Exchange Place 53 State Street Boston, MA 02109.

3. Attached hereto as collective Exhibit "A" are the Original Waivers of Service for the above-named Defendants, dated May 20, 2005.

FURTHER, AFFIANT SAYETH NOT.

DATED this 11th day of July, 2005.

Respectfully Submitted,

s/William B. Federman

William B. Federman, Bar #OBA #2853 FEDERMAN & SHERWOOD

120 North Robinson, Suite 2720 Oklahoma City, OK 73102 (405) 235-1560/Fax: (405) 236-2112

wfederman@aol.com

- and -2926 Maple Avenue, Suite 200 Dallas, TX 75201

- and -

Alan L. Kovacs **LAW OFFICES OF ALAN L. KOVACS** 2001 Beacon Street Suite 106 Boston, MA 02135 (617) 964-1177/Fax: (617) 332-1223

Attorneys for Plaintiff, Olympia Levinston Stiegele

# **CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

This certifies that on July 11, 2005, a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing document was mailed by U.S. Mail, with postage fully prepaid thereon, to the following parties:

Mitchell H. Kaplan Karen Collari Troake **CHOATE, HALL & STEWART** Exchange Place 53 State Street Boston MA 02109

> s/William B. Federman William B. Federman

Case 1:05-cv-10677-MLW Document 7-2 Filed 07/11/2005 Page 1 of 10

**EXHIBIT "A"** 

TO: Alan L. Kovacs, Law Office of Alan L. Kovacs

I acknowledge receipt of your request that I waive service of summons in the action of Stiegele v. Bailey, et al. ("Viisage") which is case number 05-CV-10677 GAO in the United States District Court of Massachusetts. I have also received a copy of the complaint in the action, two copies of this instrument, and a means by which I can return the signed waiver to you without cost to me.

I agree to save the cost of service of a summons and an additional copy of the complaint in this lawsuit by not requiring that I (or Viisage Technology, Inc. on whose behalf I am acting) be served with judicial process in the manner provided by Rule 4.

I (or Viisage Technology, Inc. on whose behalf I am acting) will retain all defenses or objections to the lawsuit or to the jurisdiction or venue of the court except for objections based on a defect in the summons or in the service of the summons.

I understand that a judgment may be entered against me (or the party on whose behalf I am acting) if an answer or motion under Rule 12 is not served upon you within 60 days or on or before July 12, 2005.

Milily # Keyl

Mitchell H. Kaplan

CHOATE HALL & STEWART LLP

As Attorney of Record for Defendant Viisage Technology, Inc.

Duty to Avoid Unnecessary Costs of Service of Summons

Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure requires certain parties to cooperate in saving unnecessary costs of service of the summons and complaint. A defendant located in the United States who, after being notified of an action and asked by a plaintiff located in the United States to waive service of a summons, fails to do so will be required to bear the cost of such service unless good cause be shown for its failure to sign and return the waiver.

It is not good cause for a failure to waive service that a party believes that the complaint is unfounded or that the action has been brought in an improper place or in a court that lacks jurisdiction over the subject matter of the action or over its person or property. A party who waives service of a summons retains all defenses and objections (except any relating to the summons or the service of the summons), and may later object to the jurisdiction of the court or to the place where the action has been brought.

TO: Alan L. Kovacs, Law Office of Alan L. Kovacs

I acknowledge receipt of your request that I waive service of summons in the action of Stiegele v. Bailey, et al. ("Viisage") which is case number 05-CV-10677 GAO in the United States District Court of Massachusetts. I have also received a copy of the complaint in the action, two copies of this instrument, and a means by which I can return the signed waiver to you without cost to me.

I agree to save the cost of service of a summons and an additional copy of the complaint in this lawsuit by not requiring that I (or Peter Nessen on whose behalf I am acting) be served with judicial process in the manner provided by Rule 4.

I (or Peter Nessen on whose behalf I am acting) will retain all defenses or objections to the lawsuit or to the jurisdiction or venue of the court except for objections based on a defect in the summons or in the service of the summons.

I understand that a judgment may be entered against me (or the party on whose behalf I am acting) if an answer or motion under Rule 12 is not served upon you within 60 days or on or before July 12, 2005.

Matchell H Kayl

Mitchell H. Kaplan CHOATE HALL & STEWART LLP

As Attorney of Record for Defendant Peter Nessen

Duty to Avoid Unnecessary Costs of Service of Summons

Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure requires certain parties to cooperate in saving unnecessary costs of service of the summons and complaint. A defendant located in the United States who, after being notified of an action and asked by a plaintiff located in the United States to waive service of a summons, fails to do so will be required to bear the cost of such service unless good cause be shown for its failure to sign and return the waiver.

It is not good cause for a failure to waive service that a party believes that the complaint is unfounded or that the action has been brought in an improper place or in a court that lacks jurisdiction over the subject matter of the action or over its person or property. A party who waives service of a summons retains all defenses and objections (except any relating to the summons or the service of the summons), and may later object to the jurisdiction of the court or to the place where the action has been brought.

TO: Alan L. Kovacs, Law Office of Alan L. Kovacs

I acknowledge receipt of your request that I waive service of summons in the action of Stiegele v. Bailey, et al. ("Viisage") which is case number 05-CV-10677 GAO in the United States District Court of Massachusetts. I have also received a copy of the complaint in the action, two copies of this instrument, and a means by which I can return the signed waiver to you without cost to me.

I agree to save the cost of service of a summons and an additional copy of the complaint in this lawsuit by not requiring that I or B. G. Beck (on whose behalf I am acting) be served with judicial process in the manner provided by Rule 4.

I (or B. G. Beck on whose behalf I am acting) will retain all defenses or objections to the lawsuit or to the jurisdiction or venue of the court except for objections based on a defect in the summons or in the service of the summons.

I understand that a judgment may be entered against me (or the party on whose behalf I am acting) if an answer or motion under Rule 12 is not served upon you within 60 days or on or before July 12, 2005.

Milelell # Keyln Signature

Mitchell H. Kaplan CHOATE HALL & STEWART LLP

As Attorney of Record for Defendant B. G. Beck

Duty to Avoid Unnecessary Costs of Service of Summons

Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure requires certain parties to cooperate in saving unnecessary costs of service of the summons and complaint. A defendant located in the United States who, after being notified of an action and asked by a plaintiff located in the United States to waive service of a summons, fails to do so will be required to bear the cost of such service unless good cause be shown for its failure to sign and return the waiver.

It is not good cause for a failure to waive service that a party believes that the complaint is unfounded or that the action has been brought in an improper place or in a court that lacks jurisdiction over the subject matter of the action or over its person or property. A party who waives service of a summons retains all defenses and objections (except any relating to the summons or the service of the summons), and may later object to the jurisdiction of the court or to the place where the action has been brought.

TO: Alan L. Kovacs, Law Office of Alan L. Kovacs

I acknowledge receipt of your request that I waive service of summons in the action of Stiegele v. Bailey, et al. ("Viisage") which is case number 05-CV-10677 GAO in the United States District Court of Massachusetts. I have also received a copy of the complaint in the action, two copies of this instrument, and a means by which I can return the signed waiver to you without cost to me.

I agree to save the cost of service of a summons and an additional copy of the complaint in this lawsuit by not requiring that I (or Charles E. Levine on whose behalf I am acting) be served with judicial process in the manner provided by Rule 4.

I (or Charles E. Levine on whose behalf I am acting) will retain all defenses or objections to the lawsuit or to the jurisdiction or venue of the court except for objections based on a defect in the summons or in the service of the summons.

I understand that a judgment may be entered against me (or the party on whose behalf I am acting) if an answer or motion under Rule 12 is not served upon you within 60 days or on or before July 12, 2005.

Milled H Kepler Signature

Mitchell H. Kaplan CHOATE HALL & STEWART LLP

As Attorney of Record for Defendant Charles E. Levine

Duty to Avoid Unnecessary Costs of Service of Summons

Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure requires certain parties to cooperate in saving unnecessary costs of service of the summons and complaint. A defendant located in the United States who, after being notified of an action and asked by a plaintiff located in the United States to waive service of a summons, fails to do so will be required to bear the cost of such service unless good cause be shown for its failure to sign and return the waiver.

It is not good cause for a failure to waive service that a party believes that the complaint is unfounded or that the action has been brought in an improper place or in a court that lacks jurisdiction over the subject matter of the action or over its person or property. A party who waives service of a summons retains all defenses and objections (except any relating to the summons or the service of the summons), and may later object to the jurisdiction of the court or to the place where the action has been brought.

TO: Alan L. Kovacs, Law Office of Alan L. Kovacs

I acknowledge receipt of your request that I waive service of summons in the action of Stiegele v. Bailey, et al. ("Viisage") which is case number 05-CV-10677 GAO in the United States District Court of Massachusetts. I have also received a copy of the complaint in the action, two copies of this instrument, and a means by which I can return the signed waiver to you without cost to me.

I agree to save the cost of service of a summons and an additional copy of the complaint in this lawsuit by not requiring that I (or Paul T. Principato on whose behalf I am acting) be served with judicial process in the manner provided by Rule 4.

I (or Paul T. Principato on whose behalf I am acting) will retain all defenses or objections to the lawsuit or to the jurisdiction or venue of the court except for objections based on a defect in the summons or in the service of the summons.

I understand that a judgment may be entered against me (or the party on whose behalf I am acting) if an answer or motion under Rule 12 is not served upon you within 60 days or on or before July 12, 2005.

Matshell H Keysland

Mitchell H. Kaplan

CHOATE HALL & STEWART LLP

As Attorney of Record for Defendant Paul T. Principato

Duty to Avoid Unnecessary Costs of Service of Summons

Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure requires certain parties to cooperate in saving unnecessary costs of service of the summons and complaint. A defendant located in the United States who, after being notified of an action and asked by a plaintiff located in the United States to waive service of a summons, fails to do so will be required to bear the cost of such service unless good cause be shown for its failure to sign and return the waiver.

It is not good cause for a failure to waive service that a party believes that the complaint is unfounded or that the action has been brought in an improper place or in a court that lacks jurisdiction over the subject matter of the action or over its person or property. A party who waives service of a summons retains all defenses and objections (except any relating to the summons or the service of the summons), and may later object to the jurisdiction of the court or to the place where the action has been brought.

TO: Alan L. Kovacs, Law Office of Alan L. Kovacs

I acknowledge receipt of your request that I waive service of summons in the action of Stiegele v. Bailey, et al. (Viisage) which is case number 05-CV-10677 GAO in the United States District Court of Massachusetts. I have also received a copy of the complaint in the action, two copies of this instrument, and a means by which I can return the signed waiver to you without cost to me.

I agree to save the cost of service of a summons and an additional copy of the complaint in this lawsuit by not requiring that I (or the entity on whose behalf I am acting) be served with judicial process in the manner provided by Rule 4.

I (or the entity on whose behalf I am acting) will retain all defenses or objections to the lawsuit or to the jurisdiction or venue of the court except for objections based on a defect in the summons or in the service of the summons.

I understand that a judgment may be entered against me (or the party on whose behalf I am acting) if an answer or motion under Rule 12 is not served upon you within 60 days or on or before July 12, 2005.

Signature # Kyr

Mitchell H. Kaplan CHOATE HALL & STEWART LLP

As Attorney of Record for Defendant Thomas J. Reilly

Duty to Avoid Unnecessary Costs of Service of Summons

Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure requires certain parties to cooperate in saving unnecessary costs of service of the summons and complaint. A defendant located in the United States who, after being notified of an action and asked by a plaintiff located in the United States to waive service of a summons, fails to do so will be required to bear the cost of such service unless good cause be shown for its failure to sign and return the waiver.

It is not good cause for a failure to waive service that a party believes that the complaint is unfounded or that the action has been brought in an improper place or in a court that lacks jurisdiction over the subject matter of the action or over its person or property. A party who waives service of a summons retains all defenses and objections (except any relating to the summons or the service of the summons), and may later object to the jurisdiction of the court or to the place where the action has been brought.

TO: Alan L. Kovacs, Law Office of Alan L. Kovacs

I acknowledge receipt of your request that I waive service of summons in the action of Stiegele v. Bailey, et al. ("Viisage") which is case number 05-CV-10677 GAO in the United States District Court of Massachusetts. I have also received a copy of the complaint in the action, two copies of this instrument, and a means by which I can return the signed waiver to you without cost to me.

I agree to save the cost of service of a summons and an additional copy of the complaint in this lawsuit by not requiring that I (or William K. Aulet on whose behalf I am acting) be served with judicial process in the manner provided by Rule 4.

I (or William K. Aulet on whose behalf I am acting) will retain all defenses or objections to the lawsuit or to the jurisdiction or venue of the court except for objections based on a defect in the summons or in the service of the summons.

I understand that a judgment may be entered against me (or the party on whose behalf I am acting) if an answer or motion under Rule 12 is not served upon you within 60 days or on or before July 12, 2005.

Milled H Kaplan

Mitchell H. Kaplan CHOATE HALL & STEWART LLP

As Attorney of Record for Defendant William K. Aulet

Duty to Avoid Unnecessary Costs of Service of Summons

Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure requires certain parties to cooperate in saving unnecessary costs of service of the summons and complaint. A defendant located in the United States who, after being notified of an action and asked by a plaintiff located in the United States to waive service of a summons, fails to do so will be required to bear the cost of such service unless good cause be shown for its failure to sign and return the waiver.

It is not good cause for a failure to waive service that a party believes that the complaint is unfounded or that the action has been brought in an improper place or in a court that lacks jurisdiction over the subject matter of the action or over its person or property. A party who waives service of a summons retains all defenses and objections (except any relating to the summons or the service of the summons), and may later object to the jurisdiction of the court or to the place where the action has been brought.

TO: Alan L. Kovacs, Law Office of Alan L. Kovacs

I acknowledge receipt of your request that I waive service of summons in the action of Stiegele v. Bailey, et al. ("Viisage") which is case number 05-CV-10677 GAO in the United States District Court of Massachusetts. I have also received a copy of the complaint in the action, two copies of this instrument, and a means by which I can return the signed waiver to you without cost to me.

I agree to save the cost of service of a summons and an additional copy of the complaint in this lawsuit by not requiring that I (or Bernard C. Bailey on whose behalf I am acting) be served with judicial process in the manner provided by Rule 4.

I (or Bernard C. Bailey on whose behalf I am acting) will retain all defenses or objections to the lawsuit or to the jurisdiction or venue of the court except for objections based on a defect in the summons or in the service of the summons.

I understand that a judgment may be entered against me (or the party on whose behalf I am acting) if an answer or motion under Rule 12 is not served upon you within 60 days or on or before July 12, 2005.

Metalel & Kaplan

Mitchell H. Kaplan CHOATE HALL & STEWART LLP

As Attorney of Record for Defendant Bernard C. Bailey

Duty to Avoid Unnecessary Costs of Service of Summons

Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure requires certain parties to cooperate in saving unnecessary costs of service of the summons and complaint. A defendant located in the United States who, after being notified of an action and asked by a plaintiff located in the United States to waive service of a summons, fails to do so will be required to bear the cost of such service unless good cause be shown for its failure to sign and return the waiver.

It is not good cause for a failure to waive service that a party believes that the complaint is unfounded or that the action has been brought in an improper place or in a court that lacks jurisdiction over the subject matter of the action or over its person or property. A party who waives service of a summons retains all defenses and objections (except any relating to the summons or the service of the summons), and may later object to the jurisdiction of the court or to the place where the action has been brought.

TO: Alan L. Kovacs, Law Office of Alan L. Kovacs

I acknowledge receipt of your request that I waive service of summons in the action of Stiegele v. Bailey, et al. ("Viisage") which is case number 05-CV-10677 GAO in the United States District Court of Massachusetts. I have also received a copy of the complaint in the action, two copies of this instrument, and a means by which I can return the signed waiver to you without cost to me.

I agree to save the cost of service of a summons and an additional copy of the complaint in this lawsuit by not requiring that I or Denis K. Berube (on whose behalf I am acting) be served with judicial process in the manner provided by Rule 4.

I (or Denis K. Berube on whose behalf I am acting) will retain all defenses or objections to the lawsuit or to the jurisdiction or venue of the court except for objections based on a defect in the summons or in the service of the summons.

I understand that a judgment may be entered against me (or the party on whose behalf I am acting) if an answer or motion under Rule 12 is not served upon you within 60 days or on or before July 12, 2005.

Mullelf H Kypler Signature

Mitchell H. Kaplan CHOATE HALL & STEWART LLP

As Attorney of Record for Defendant Denis K. Berube

Duty to Avoid Unnecessary Costs of Service of Summons

Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure requires certain parties to cooperate in saving unnecessary costs of service of the summons and complaint. A defendant located in the United States who, after being notified of an action and asked by a plaintiff located in the United States to waive service of a summons, fails to do so will be required to bear the cost of such service unless good cause be shown for its failure to sign and return the waiver.

It is not good cause for a failure to waive service that a party believes that the complaint is unfounded or that the action has been brought in an improper place or in a court that lacks jurisdiction over the subject matter of the action or over its person or property. A party who waives service of a summons retains all defenses and objections (except any relating to the summons or the service of the summons), and may later object to the jurisdiction of the court or to the place where the action has been brought.